

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Parent and Tradeonark Office Address COMMISSONER FOR PATENTS Adexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspido.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/767.952 | 01/24/2001 | Kazunobu Katoh | 3709-0101P | 2787 | |
| 2292 | 7590 12/02/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 | | | CHEA, THORL | | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1752 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 09/767,952 | KATOH, KAZUNOBU | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Thori Chea | 1752 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | | | | |
| final | REPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE efore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (tition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a | | | |
| | PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) | a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no | | | | | |
| | only Check This Box When The First Reply was 706 07(f) | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP | | | |
| 37 CF (b) ab | xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the | fee. The appropriate extension fee under | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 November 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (0 | they present additional claims without cancell NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of t | finally rejected claims. | | | |
| | 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| 4. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amend canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 5.🖂 | ☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 6. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | |
| 7.⊠ | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-11 and 17-19</u> . | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-15</u> . | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| | 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752 | | | |



Application No.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason set forth in the previous office action; claims 12-14 would be allowable if included the same limitation such as present in claim 1.